Present

App F IX-4

Previous References 1933 (Miscl)

File No 300-3

Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 4

Part B for September 1934

SUBJECT

Appeal re the removal of Halamma deity from Hanmale to the Mladevaru Temple at Marikoppa

fl 4

To The Mesz Cmr
no 288 of 1 9 - 34

WD 01965—GPB—15 000—7 7-34

Forwards a copy of the Compromise petition received in the matter from the parties

1075

re removal of Halamma deity from Honnali to the Muladevaru temple at Mantapa

Serial No

Petition dy 31/3/34 from H Nilappa Son of Hanumanna, Kuruba by caste in Honnali Town filed before K T Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate Bangalore city appealing against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner in Muz Appl Sog 32-33 on his file confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga directing the removal of the Halamma deity from the temple at Honnali to the Muladevaru temple at Mantapa —

(1) The pet is submitted with stamps and is returned in time. For order the appeal may be admitted and records called for —

GS 11/4

2) Records may be called for

J 11/4/34

H Seny)

2 WS Mr)

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL 12 APR 1934 No- 626

2) Mr 11/4 J/12/4

J/12 12/4 Mr J/12/4

4)

5) All sums of forwarded petitions on the addl pet is for Revd Signature —

GS

Signed Copies Assessed

6) Issue Petn Sent to M.C Mr

18/11

NOTE—Cover Sheet

WD 6354—GPB—15 000—12-10-33

Mem Affair re Haladamma Deity being removed from Honnale to Mankotta

Mem

File No 30 of 1933

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No 13

Letter No 1363/33-34 & 2215/34 from the Mem's Commissioner submitting records connected with the appeal petition filed by M. N Tahsp of Honnale Taluk against the order of S D-O dated 28/11/31 wherein he has directed that the Utsava moorty Image of Haladamma be handed over to the Dharmadarsi for deposit in the Mula temple i.e. at Marikoppa In the Khanesh mari account of 1873-74 found among the records for Honnale Kasaba, there is a mention of Haladammana Devasthana. Against the above order of the S D-O an appeal lay before the Addl C who concurring with the S-D-O held that the Utsava moorty be handed over to the Dharmadarsi of the Marikoppa Muladevaru temple), necessary Criminal action being taken if any body obstructed this being handed over. It is against this order an appeal went before the Addl C (Vide Flag B) In the facts portion it is stated that the Amildar of Honnale in 1902 ordered the removal of the Utsava moorty of Haladamma deity from the Muladevaru temple at Marikoppa. This is not borne out by the available records.

Flag A paper

Flag C

Flag B

(3)

Mrs Attd 12/6 re. Halad amma deity
being removed from Honnali
to Mankoppa.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 193 — [App F III—2

	Serial No	
x Flag O		The Amildar in his report of 18 9 1902 has shown how important the temple of Halad amma deity in Mankoppa is & how the archak is misusing the temple Karikes Etc While doing so, he refers to a theft of jewels that occurred on 11-9 1902 in Ittava devare temple in the Bazaar Street in Honnali It is submitted that the Lower Courts appear to have connected the latter ~~devare~~ deity with the former deity at Mankoppa - In the Kancheham's accounts written before hand as 1873-74 when there is a clear mention of Haladamma devara temple at Honnali, it is submitted that it maybe likely that the two are different institutions, one being in Honnali & the other at Mankoppa ~~Copy of the Petitioners Petition is enclosed herewith for reference~~
Flag A		8) In the meanwhile dolls are solicited if it maybe posted for hearing on 7/6
		9) The fact that there is no Moola-Vigraha at the Honnali temple leads to the inference that it is not a regular temple & that the Ittava-Murty of the Mankoppa temple is

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15 000—12-10-38

(1927 S 33-34
Mrs Appl against two orders of two M C
re removal 2 stalad animals daily from
Hon'ble Temple to Munkhappa - 12/6

Mez File No	of 193	General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

being heard ex parte for which an special occasions in the former place - It looks as if the Mineral pak belongs to the Cattle Temple

10) The Case may perhaps be heard

Keith
11/6/34

11) The case may be heard. If appeal this case will be included in the list of cases to be heard on a date to be fixed by the Hon Member

fixed for 4 Aug 1934 June 1 Fm Co

16/6/34

Secy

2nd Appl

12) 20/6

13) 25/6

14) Counsel for both parties present & present a petn of Compromise The appeal is ~~withdrawn~~ not pressed as they parties have come to an compromise. ~~The petitioners~~

15) I accept the compromise

6/6

4/8 6/8

General and Revenue Secretariat

of 1933

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
23 AUG 1934
No 1333

4 No 288 of 1934 to the Hon'ble the Commissioner forwarding a Copy of the Compromise petition received in the matter

No 289 of 1934 to Mr. K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate for the appellant with a Copy of the Compromise petition

No 290 of 1934 to Messrs K Subbaraya and P Siva Shankar Advocates for the respondents

B 14 9 34

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No

of 193 .

General and Revenue
Secretariat



Nos 300-534

1079

IN THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Muzrai Appeal No. 27 of 1933 - 1934

Presented by Mr Krishnamachar Clerk of Mr G. T. Bhashyam 31/3/34

Appellant		Respondents
H Nilappa, son of Hanumanna, Kuruber by caste, residing in Honnali Town	VS	1 Patel Halappa 2 Rudrappa 3 Kannappa 4 Mallanna 5 Mahadevappa all residing in Marikoppa, Honnali Taluk

UNDER SECTION 21 OF THE MUZRAI REGULATION

The appellant abovenamed begs to appeal against the order of the Revenue Commissioner in Muzrai Appeal No 8 of 1932-33 confirming the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, directing the removal of the Haladamma deity from the temple at Honnali to the Muladevaru Temple at Marikoppa and set forth the following grounds of objection to the said order viz

1 That the idol in question was installed in the Honnali Temple as far back as 1886 and the temple itself was specially built therefor The learned Deputy Commissioner erred in believing that the idol was kept permanently at Marikoppa

2 That the only idol in the temple at Honnali being the present one which is directed to be removed to Marikoppa, the temple itself - will go to ruin if the said idol is removed and the sentiments of innumerable devotees will be outraged

3 The records referred to by the subordinate authorities clearly prove that in 1902 owing to theits and epidemic in Honnali, the idol was temporarily removed to Marikoppa for worship as is being done even now on festival occasions

4 That the Deputy Commissioner is in error in believing that any muchalika has been given regarding the utsavamurthi The Marikoppa Dharmadarsis lent a few jewels for their return It has nothing to do with the idol and the subordinate authorities erred in connecting the two

5 That the opinion of the Agamiks is in favour of the appellant and where the Muladevaru Temple is in an out of the way place and Agamik rites are not observed in the temple, the Utsava Murthy may be kept apart from the Muladevaru

6 That the lower courts have not properly appreciated the evidence on record clearly and unequivocally proves that the Utsava Murthy is permanently installed in Honnali and is only occasionally removed therefrom for worship elsewhere

That the order appealed against is opposed to manool and the evidence both oral and documentary on record

Wherefore the appellant prays that the orders appealed against be kindly set aside and the idol in question be directed to - - remain in Honnali as heretofore

The appellant further humbly prays that pending disposal of the above appeal a stay of execution of the orders of the Subordinate authorities be kindly passed

Bangalore,
31st March 1934

Advocate for appellant

OFFICE OF THE COMMR. MYSORE
17 APR 1934

S/1363/33-34

Mem f 16-4-34

Forwarded with compliments to the Mysore Commissioner for favour of submitting records connected with the appeal

By order

16/4/34
for financial Secretary

14/4



In the Court of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore

Appeal No 8/32-33

Appellant — H Nilappa Son of Hanumamma Kumbar Honnali Town

Vs

Respondents —
1 Patil Halappa
2 Rudrappa
3 Mallamma
4 Kannappa
5 Mahadevappa
All Residents of Markoppa Honnali Taluk

Subject

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District directing the removal of the Utsavamurthy of the Haladamma deity from the temple at Honnali to the Mulasthana Temple at Markoppa

Facts of the case

There are traces of evidence in the record to show that there was a Haladamma temple in Honnali town so far back as 1873-74 and 1886 where the Haladamma utsavamurthy used to be worshipped by a pujari In 1902 on the outbreak of plague in Honnali, a theft of some jewels belonging to the utsavamurthy occurred in the Honnali temple As the Honnali temple was a non-Muzrai institution and there was no safety for the jewels of the utsavamurthy, the Amildar ordered the lodging of the jewels in the Taluk Treasury and removal of the utsavamurthy to the Mulasthana temple at Markoppa which is a minor Muzrai institution with a duly Constituted body of Dharmadarsis Since then (1902) the Haladamma utsavamurthy is permanently located in the Markoppa temple under the supervision of the Dharmadarsis

/D

But the practice prevails of sending this utsavamurthy which is a touring deity to other Villages in times of trouble and epidemics to allay their sufferings on the Villagers executing a mutchalika in favour of the Dharmadarsis for the safe return of the deity and the jewels after their object was served. Two such mutchalikas have been executed by the Honnali people in 1917 and in 1925 and the idol was returned to the Mankoppa Dharmadarsis On 9-10-30 the Honnali people as usual executed a mutchalika in favour of the Dharmadarsis of Mankoppa and took the utsavamurthy and the jewels promising to return them to the Dharmadarsis within a week It is however significant that the mutchalika is so worded as to refer to the return of the jewels only and not the idol Months passed by and neither the jewels nor the idol were returned to the Dharmadarsis Orders of successive Amildars were cleverly baffled The matter went up before the Sub Division Officer who ordered that the utsavamurthy must be stationed in the Mulaseevarm temple at Mankoppa as it was beyond dispute that for the last 30 years the idol had its permanent abode at Mankoppa Whatever might have been the state of facts prior to 1902 On appeal the Deputy Commissioner Confirmed the Sub Division Officers order on Condition that the Mankoppa people should hand the utsavamurthy to other Villagers on their executing a mutchalika for the return of the deity in times of trouble

Hence the present appeal The appellant quotes several instances where the utsavamurthy is kept separately from the Mulaseevarm and prays that the order of things which exested prior to 1902 may be restored

The case came up for hearing on 5-12 33 when Mr K T Bhashyam Iyengar for appellant and Mr H Linguppa for Respondents were heard

Order Appeal 8/3233 Dated 21-12 1933

The Utsava Vgraha is said to be an itinerating idol which is taken round to Villages owing to a belief in the efficacy of its presence to allay epidemics It is quite possible that some 30 years ago it made a prolonged halt at Honnali in the course of one of these tours and that a structure was built there for its accomodation But this structure the Respondents Counsel points out is neither in its appearance nor by its situation a temple proper but only a temporary shelter for the deity — a sort of Circuit house for the idol and not its appointed Official residence at headquarters I am inclined to believe this Contention

Prima facie the proper and legitimate place of the Utsava Vigraha is along with the Mula Vigraha at Harikoppa where it has remained uninterruptedly since 1902 till the present dispute arose It is in evidence that in 1917 and again in 1925 when the Honnali people took out the idol they duly returned it to the Dharmada of Harikoppa Their claim on the present occasion to retain it permanently at Honnali seems to be unreasonable and shows short-sighted indifference to the Sanctity and potency of the Coveted idol which alone retain these properties only by Continued association with the Moola Vgraha

I accordingly uphold the decision of the Deputy Commissioner and dismiss the appeal

Sd/ N. Madhava Rau,
Mysore Commissioner

12

Copy applied by Mr K T Shashyum Manager
Copy applied on — 16/8/54
Copy delivered on — 25-1-55
Copied by — W Krishnaiah
Compared by — S Jayaramaiah
No of words — 660
Copying fee — 0-14-0
Comparing fee — 0 3-6

Copy"

V Venkamma Bhatta
Asst Manager
Mines Commis Office
Bangalore



43

31/3/34

Before the Government of H.H. the Maharaja at Bangalore

ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

Hallaffa ಎಂಬವರು ಸನ 1933 1934ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

(ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ) ವಾದಿ (ಅಪೀಲಂಟ) (ಎದುರುದಾರ) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ (ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟ)

Hallaffa

Halaffa

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಲ್ಲಿ

ಮಾನ್ಯ ರಾ॥ ರಾ॥ [redacted] ಇವರಿಗೆ

Appellant ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರನಾದ

ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಲ್ಲಿ ಸನ್ನದ್ಧರಾಗಿ ನಾವು ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು [redacted] ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಕಾರಣಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ವಾರಂಟಾಗಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ದಾವಾಸ್ತಾಂಪು ಬ್ರೋಕರ್ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಮತ್ತೀಪತ್ರ ಸ್ಟಾಪ್ಸ್‌ಗಳನ್ನು ಅವಶ್ಯವಿದ್ದಷ್ಟು ಬರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ನಿಮ್ಮವರಿಗೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಧಿಕಾರಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಬರೆದು ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಿರಿ. ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದರೆ ಅದರಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಇಲ್ಲವಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮ ನಾವು ಬರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದಂತೆ ಆಗುತ್ತೆ.

ತಾ॥ 19

ಅಕ್ಷರ ಕಿನ್ನಲ ವೈನರಾಯ

Accepted

[redacted]

Pleader 31 3 34

ಈ ಪೆ ಸ್ಕಾರನಾಮೆಕೆ ಬೆಟ್ಟವರು ಸಾಗೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸ್ಪರು ಸನ್ನ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ

K P Rangappa

(ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಪ್ರಾಸಿಕ್ಯೂಟರರು)

No 1363 Rev Rec 33-34 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

14

15

GENL & REV SECRETARIAT Bangalore 1276 28 MAY 1934

22

Mzg 300-33-3

FROM

N MADHAVA RAU Esq BA BL
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore

Mr B

To

[illegible]
Secretary to the Government of [illegible]
Financial Department

Sir,

With reference to our [illegible] 1377/ dated 10-1-34 calling for the records connected with the repeal of Hallaiah's hereditary trusteeship of Aladiah deity from the temple at Kallahalli to the Uladevara temple at Kallahalli I have the honour to forward herewith the entire records received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No [illegible] 1937/33-34 dated 12-5-1934 (copy enclosed) together with the office file No [illegible]/32-33 dated 1-1-1934 and to state that the facts of the case have been clearly set forth in this office order dated 21st December 1933

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

N Madhava Rau
Muzrai Commissioner





448/34

ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮೆ

Before the Govt of His Highness the Maharaja Mysore Bangalore
ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ

ನ೯ 193 —193 ನಯಿ ಇಸವಿ Miscl Appeal No 27 of 33-34 ನಂಬ್ರ

ವಾದಿ ಫಿರಾದಿ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ
Appellant

Neelappa bin Hanumappa

VS

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಅಪರಾಧಿ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರ
Respondents

Patel Halappa & others

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗಾದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್
ಮಿ॥ ರಾ॥ ಹೆಚ್ ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ, ಬಿ ಎ, ಎಲ್ ಎಲ್ ಬಿ ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ ನಾಮೆ

ಈ ವ ಎದ್ದೆಸಿಯಿಲ್ಲಿ ನಸ್ಸ ರವಾಗಿ ತಾವ್ಯಕೆ ಟಿ-ಿ ಹಾ ಬರಾಗಿ ಪೊಎದ್ದವೆ ನತೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ತಜಿ-ವಗೈರ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ವಾದಿಸ ಪೈಸ ಪೊಂದತಕ್ಕ ಒಗೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರ ನಡಸತಕ್ಕ ಒಗೂ ದಸ್ತೈ ಬ ವಗೈರೆ ಟೆಗೆದ ಕ ಂಡು ರೀದಿ ಕ ಡುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಂದ ವಾ ಸಾಗತಕ್ಕ ದಾವಾ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಪೋ ನ ಸ್ಟಾಂಪು ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಹಣ ತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಚತ ಇತಾ ದಿ ಇವರ ಎಧವಾಡ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪೊಎಗು ಟೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತ ಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೆ ಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಎ ಈ ಹಾ ದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವೇ ಎಗಲಿ ನವ ಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಇನ್ಯಾವ ವಾಯಿ ರೇ ಆಗಲಿ ನನಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಕೆ ಟಿ-ಗ ಹಾ ರಾ ಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಮುದ್ರ ದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಇದ್ದಂಡ ಒಪ್ಪಿದನಾಗಿರು ತ್ತೇನೆ

1 ಸೆ 1934

Accepted

K Subbrah
P Swa Shankar

H Linga Appa
Advocates for Pets

ರ ಬು
ಕಾಡಹಳ್ಳಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ರದರ್ಶಕರಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಹಿ ಮಾಳಪ್ಪ ನಂಬುತ ನೀಡಿದ್ದು
ಚಿಗಸ ಸಲುವಿ ನಿವಚಿಲೆವಾಹದ್ದೆ
ಪಟೆಲ ನಿಜಪ್ಪ
ಈ ನಿಷ್ಟ ನಾರು ಟ

ಈ ವೊಕ್ಕಾ ರ ನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ಥರು ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ

H Linga Appa
1/8/34

(ಪಟೀಲ ಅಥವ ಶಾ ನುಭೋಗನ ರುಜು)

19 NO 148
9 34-35
17

ಶ್ರೀ ಸರಕಾರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ದರ್ಬಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಕೇಸು ನಂಬರ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ 27/33-34

ಅಪೀಲೆಂಟ್	ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟ್
ಶ್ರೀ [illegible] ಸ್ವಾಮಿ	1 ಪಟೇಲ್ [illegible] 2 ಪಾರುಪತ್ಯಗಾರರು [illegible] 4 [illegible] ಮಠದ [illegible]

ಅಪೀಲೆಂಟುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟುಗಳು ಹಜಾರಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ರಾಜಿನಾಮೆ —

ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಕೇಸಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ಮಠದ ಶ್ರೀ [illegible] ದೇವರ ಉತ್ಸವಮೂರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಸುಮಾರು 1902-1903 ರಿಂದ [illegible] ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರು ಇರುವ ಶ್ರೀ [illegible] ದೇವರ ಉತ್ಸವ ಮೂರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಉತ್ಸವ ಮೂರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ರೆಸ್ಪಾಂಡೆಂಟು [illegible] ಮಠದವರು [illegible] ಉತ್ಸವಮೂರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು [illegible] ಸಂದರ್ಭ ಬಂದಾಗ [illegible] ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬಹುದು [illegible] ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿ [illegible] ನಂತರ [illegible] ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಪುನಃ ಉತ್ಸವ ಮೂರ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ತಂದು ಇಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಪೀಲೆಂಟನು ಒಪ್ಪಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ —

ಈ ರೀತಿ ರಾಜಿ ಆಗಿರುವುದು [illegible] ಮಠದ [illegible] ಸಮ್ಮತಿ [illegible] ಈ ರೀತಿ ರಾಜಿ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ರಾಜಿ [illegible] ಕೇಸನ್ನು ಫೈಸಲು ಮಾಡಬಹುದಾಗಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ

[illegible] ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಸಹಿ [illegible]
ಪಾರುಪತ್ಯಗಾರರು

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS

THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Muz Appeal No 27 of 1933-34

15

Appellant

H Nilappa son of Harumarna Kurubar by caste residing in Honnali Town

Vs

Respondents

Patel Halappa
Rudrappa
Pannappa
Mallarna
Shadevappa
Residing in Marikoppa
Honnali Taluk

SUBJECT

Appeal against the Muzrai Commissioner's decision in Muzrai Appeal No 8 of 1932-33 on the file of his Court upholding the order of the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District directing the removal of the Utsava Moorty of Sri Haladamma deity from Honnali temple to the Muladevaru temple at Marikoppa - Honnali Taluk

---

The case was called on Saturday the 4th August 1934 Mr K T Bhashyam Iyengar B A B L Advocate for the appellant, as present the respondents in acknowledging the receipt of summons pleaded their inability to attend the case, and prayed that the case may be decided by Government in such manner as they might deem fit At the time of hearing however a petition of compromise duly signed by the respondents as well as the appellant was presented by -- Messrs K Gubbaiya and Sivashankar Advocates for the respondents and Mr K T Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate for the appellant, and the appeal was not pressed

ORDER

The appeal is not pressed The parties have come to an amicable understanding whereby the respondents agree to the permanent location of the Utsava Moorty of Sri Haladamma deity of Marikoppa in the Honnali temple as it was prior to 1902-1903 the people of -- Marikoppa and other villages being allowed to take the Utsava -- Moorty whenever required and return it to the Honnali temple --

after

20

after the purpose is over Government are leased to accept the compromise petition presented by the Advocates on both sides

---

Memo No 288 Lnd 2nd Records / Mey 3 00-33-4 Dated 1-9-1934

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with a copy of the compromise petition returning records received with his letter No 1366/33-34 dated 22nd May 1934

By Order
(Sd) R Ranga Rao
Secretary to Govt

Memo No 290 Lnd Dated 1-9-1934

Copy to Mr P N Bhashyam Iyengar B A B L Advocate for the appellant with a copy of the compromise petition

By Order
Sd/- R Ranga Rao
for Secretary to Govt

Memo No 290 Lnd Dated 1-9-1934

Copy to Messrs K Subba Rao and P Vasudeva Rao Advocates for the respondents with a copy of the compromise petition

By Order
D S Narayana Iyengar
for Secretary to Govt

D.No 148
(G)

TYPING SECTION
25 AUG. 1934
GENL & REV SECTT

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

27

Misc 3 Appeal No 27 of 1933 34.

Appellant Vs Respondents

H N Lakkappa Son of Hanumanna - Kuruban by caste residing in Honnali Town

Patel Malappa
Rudrappa
Kannappa
Mallanna
Mahadevappa

Residing at Mantkoppa Honnali TK

Subject

Appeal against the order dated 5 in suit No 8 of 1932-33 on the file of the court upholding the order of the D C Shimoga directing the removal of the Utsava Moorty of Sri Haladamma deity from Honnali temple to the Mulagavare temple at Mantkoppa — Honnali TK

The case was called on Saturday the 4th inst Mr K T Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate for the appellant was present; the respondents on acknowledging the receipt of Summons pleaded their inability to attend the case & prayed that the case may be decided by the Court as they may think proper on the documents

GPB—1 000—8-8-11

22-

At the time of hearing however petition of C.A. Compromise only signed by the respondents as well as the appellant was presented by Messrs K Subbaiya & S-V Sankar Advocates for the respondents & Mr K T Rhadhayan Iyengar Advocate for the appellant and the appeal was not pressed

Order

The appeal is not pressed. The parties have come to an amicable understanding whereby the respondents have agreed to the location of the Utsava moorty of the Sthaladamsa deity of Mankoppa in the Hosmale temple as it was prior to 1902-1903, the people of Mankoppa and other Villages being allowed to take the Utsava moorty when required and return it to the Hosmale temple after the purpose is over. Costs are directed to accept the compromise petn presented by the advocates on both sides.

Enc 2 records 1-9-34

Ems no 288 9
May 30 or 33-4

Copy with C.C. with a copy of the Compromise petn returning records received with his letter no 1363 '33-34 dy 24/5/34

B.O. dy 8
1/11 to Hd Sec

G R S App F G 3

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form)

Since 23

~~29a~~ 289 S 1-9-34

Cdy to me K T Bhashyam

Deputy G A- for the appellant both a cdy of the compromise petn ~~report~~

OS - O

Since 290 S 1 - 9 - 34

Cdy to receive K Gurumurthy & P. Siva Shankar Advocates for the respondents both a Cdy of the Compromise petn ~~report~~

OS - O

1710

WD 0255—GPB—10 000—23 8 32

22

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo No 81 Rev 4 Dated Bangalore 18-7 1934

The Amildar of Honnale Taluk is directed to intimate to the parties whose names are noted below that the Muzrai cases in which they are interested will be heard by the Revenue Member of Council at the Old Public Office Buildings Bangalore on Saturday the 4th Aug/at 7½ P.M. and that they should be present at the time of hearing

2 The result of action taken on this should be reported to this office and the notices returned duly served before the 1st Aug 1934

By Order

For Secretary to Government
Financial (Muzrai) Department

Muz Appln 27 of 33-34
re. the renewal of the G.T.S.
Utsava works of Haladammadevi-
from Honnali to the Mulavana temple
at Mantkhola — Honnali Tk

Appellant — H N Nalappa — Kumbara by Caste — Honnali Town

Respondents — Patel Kalappa
Rudrappa
Kamaiah
Mallanna
Mahadevappa
all residing at Mantkhola

ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮ೯ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಪೈನ್ಯಾ೯ಷಿಯಲ್
(ಮುಜರಾಯಿ) ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಆಪೀಸಿನಿಂದ

1933—1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 27

ವಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವಿಷಯ

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ನೀಲಪ್ಪನವರಿ —

ನೀವು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು 1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು [illegible] ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು

ಇ ತಾರೀಖು 19 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ [illegible] 1934 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

for Secretary to Govt
Financial (Muzrai) Dept

WF1913—GPB—1000—27 11 33

ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮರ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಪೈನ್ಯಾನ್ಷಿಯಲ್
(ಮುಜರಾಯಿ) ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

1933 —1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 27

ವಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವಿಷಯ

ಮೇಲಿಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು

ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇರೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು 1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು

ಇಂತಿ ತಾರೀಖು 19 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ 1934 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order

for Secretary to Govt
Financial (Muzrai) Dept

11 33

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

[redacted] No 82 Dated Bangalore 19th July 1934

Dear Sirs,

Muzrai Appeal No.27 of 33-34 re the removal of the Utsavamoorty of Haladamma deity from Honnali to Malavara temple at Marikoppa, Honnali Taluk is posted to Saturday the 4th August 1934 and will be heard by the Second Member of Council that day at 1 P M in the Old Public Office Buildings, Bangalore. The receipt of this may kindly be acknowledged.

Yours faithfully,

For Financial Secretary to Govt.

To

Messrs. K.T Bhashyam Iyengar,
and M.Seshadri,
Advocates, Cottonpet,
Bangalore City.

Appendix F G—1]
SUBJECT

Section Muz
File No
Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to 1276

No 82

To Messrs K T Bhashyam Iyengar and M. Seshadri. Advocates - Civil side Bangalore City

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 19-7-34

Signed by

Muz HAM re 27 of 33-34 re the removal of the Ittavara moorthy of Haladamma devi from Honnale to Mekkavanige to Honnale. It is posted to Saturday the 4th Aug 1934 and will be heard by the Court

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 71—GBPM—40 000—20-7 31

29

came this day at 1 P.M.
in the Old Public Offices Buildings
Bangalore. The receipts
of Mrs. which the accounts related —
Jno Fairbairn

2/1917
Jno Fairbairn

C.S.
1912

30

ನೋಟೀಸ್

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಪೈನ್ಯಾಷಿಯಲ್
(ಮುಜರಾಯಿ) ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಆಫೀಸಿನಿಂದ

1933—1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 27

ವಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು

ವಿಷಯ

ಮೇಲಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ನೀಲಪ್ಪನವರಿ —— ಗೆ

ನೀವು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಕಮೀಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇರೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು 1934ನೇ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು [illegible] ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 19 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ [illegible] 1934 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

for Secretary to Govt
Financial (Muzrai) Dept

WD 913—GPB—1000—27 11 33

ఎగ్జిబిట్ పిటిషనర్ తరపున సాక్షులను విచారించి వీడియో రికార్డింగ్ చేయడమైనది

తేదీ 22-7-2024

Served by రిజిస్టర్డ్ పోస్ట్ ద్వారా

32

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Memo No 8 Muz 4 Dated Bangalore 19th July 1934

The Amildar of Honnali Taluk is directed to intimate to the parties whose names are noted below that the Muzrai cases in which they are interested will be heard by the Second Member of Council at the Old Public Office Buildings Bangalore on Saturday the 4th August 1934 at 1 P.M. and that they should be present at the time of hearing

2 The result of action taken on this should be reported to this office before the 1st August 1934 and the notices returned duly Served

By Order

For Secretary to Government
Financial (Muzrai) Department

55

Muz Appl No 41 of 33-34

re the removal of the Utsavamoorty of Haladamma deity from Honnali to the Mulavaru temple at Marikoppa, Honnali Taluk -

Appellant - H Nilappa- Kurubar by caste - Honnali Town

Respondents - Patel Halappa
Rudrappa
Kannappa
Mallamma
Manadevappa
all residing at Marikoppa

Gl 389
20 7 34
Pro 8/34 35
Forwarded to the Revenue Inspector of Honnali Hobli for early service and report

Amildar of Honnali

pro 8/34 35 - 20 7 34
Report 27/7/34
served, Submitted

33

GENL & REV SECRETARIAT
27 JUL 1934
MUZRAI BE

F M
No

1/961 Mus S.

Pro 8/34-35

Submitted by the Secretary to Government
- Financial (Treasury) Department, Bangalore

K Narasimha Murthy
Under Secretary
23/7/34

--

ನೋಟೀಸ್

324

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗಾರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಪೈನ್ಯಾನ್ಷಿಯಲ್
(ಮುಜರಾಯಿ) ಸೆಕ್ರಟೆರಿಯವರ ಆಪೀಸಿನಿಂದ

1935—1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 27

ವಾದಿ

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ವಿಷಯ

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು

ತಿಳಿಯಲು ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು 1934 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 4 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು ದಿವಸ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ದಿವಸ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ 1 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು

ಇ ತಾರೀಖು 19 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಜುಲೈ 1934 ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order

for Secretary to Govt
Financial (Muzrai) Dept

WD 913—GPB—1000—27 11 33

35

Served by mail 21/2/24

Served by mail 21/2/24

The respondent — State they are enabled to come to Bangalore and Time to Case may be decided Govt deem fit —

In the lower Court the said respondents were served with notice and they has engaged the Services of Mr. H Lingappa Advocate to Conduct the Case.

Suit for dos —

Issues may be raised on the Abstract not to be prepared

2/5/17

35 fojas